## Ref: <br> 8670

January 28, 2021

Mr. Peter Bemis
Engineering Design Consultants, Inc.
520 Hartford Turnpike
32 Turnpike Road
Southborough, MA 01772
Re: Response to Comments - Hopping Brook Business Park Traffic Analyses Holliston, Massachusetts

Dear Peter:
Vanasse \& Associates, Inc. (VAI) has prepared this letter in response to the comments and questions that have been raised by the Town Peer Review Consultant, MDM Transportation Consultants, Inc. ("MDM"), concerning the VAI Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) dated November 18, 2020 (hereafter referred to as the "November TIA") for the proposed 800,000 square feet (sf) of warehouse space to be located at 555 Hopping Brook Road as part of the Hopping Brook Business Park in Holliston, Massachusetts (hereafter referred to as the "Project"). The following information is provided in this letter:
i) A comparison of industry trip generation with local observed trip generation estimates,
ii) A review of alternative trip generation based on comments provided by MDM, and
iii) A "Sensitivity Analysis" of traffic operations at the Washington Street at Hopping Brook Road intersection to reflect the effects of alternative trip generation on the proposed intersection design.

## TRIP GENERATION COMPARISONS

## January 20, 2020 TIA Trip Generation

The Project initially submitted a TIA dated January 20, 2020 (hereafter referred to as the "January TIA"). Trip estimates were completed using research studies ${ }^{1}$ resulting in vehicle-trip estimates for weekday daily and peak periods. Following that submittal and review, VAI was retained by the Applicant to prepare a revised traffic assessment. Accordingly, information was provided by the Applicant regarding the end user along with comments provided by the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) in their review of the Project. A revised approach to trip generation was used by VAI in preparing the November TIA to use the more appropriate Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Land Use Code 150, Warehousing to generate trips for the Project. The results of these trip calculations are summarized and compared in Table 1.

[^0]
## Table 1

TRIP-GENERATION SUMMARY

| Time Period/Direction | $\begin{gathered} \text { A } \\ \text { November TIA } \\ \text { LUC } 150 \text { Warehousing }{ }^{\text {a }} \\ (800,000 \mathrm{sf}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | B January TIA Alternative Trip Estimates $(800,000 \mathrm{sf})$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{A}$ Difference (Increase/Decrease) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Daily | 1,310 | 1,488 | +178 |
| Weekday Morning Peak Hour: |  |  |  |
| Entering | 105 | 52 | -53 |
| Exiting | 31 | 52 | +21 |
| Total | 136 | 104 | -32 |
| Weekday Evening Peak Hour: |  |  |  |
| Entering | 41 | 49 | +8 |
| Exiting | 111 | $\underline{23}$ | -88 |
| Total | 152 | 72 | -80 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Based on ITE LUC 150, Warehousing (800,000 sf).
In comparison with the November TIA, the January TIA predicted 178 additional vehicle trips during the average weekday daily, 32 fewer vehicle trips during weekday morning peak hour, and 80 fewer vehicle trips during weekday evening peak hour. Since the intersection operations analysis uses peak hour trips and not daily trips, use of the LUC 150 data provides a higher estimate of site traffic generation leading to a more conservative analysis than was prepared in the January TIA.

The use of the warehouse code was warranted based on information provided by the Applicant that the proposed 800,000 sf building was being marketed to a standard warehouse tenant. This intention has been further corroborated in a January 25, 2021 letter from CRG Senior Vice President Frank Petkunas (the "Applicant") to Mr. David Thorn, Chairman of the Holliston Planning Board.

## Warehouse Traffic Count Observations

In order to compare industry trip generation information with local data, vehicle trip rates were derived from traffic count observations conducted at a warehouse located in Bellingham, Massachusetts. The counts were conducted during the weekday morning (7:00 to 9:00 AM) and weekday evening (4:00 to 6:00 PM) peak periods in 2019. This data was used to establish trip rates (trips per $1,000 \mathrm{sf}$ ) for the weekday peak hours of the adjacent roadway, which were then compared to the trips rates estimated using Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), ${ }^{2}$ Land Use Code (LUC) 150 Warehousing. Table 2 summarizes the results.

[^1]Table 2
PROJECT TRIP-RATE SUMMARY

|  | ITE LUC150 <br> (800,000 sf Warehousing) | Observed Trips (127,500 sf Warehousing) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period/Direction | Vehicles/1,000 sf | Vehicles/1,000 sf |
| Weekday Morning Peak Hour | 0.17 | 0.12 |
| Weekday Evening Peak Hour | 0.19 | 0.18 |

As can be seen in Table 2, trip generation using the ITE data provides a conservative approach to trip calculations where the ITE trip rates are higher than observed trip rates during peak periods.

## ALTERNATIVE TRIP GENERATION

The November TIA included an analysis of the remaining build-out of the Hopping Brook Business Park based on available property and likely land uses. Accordingly, this Ultimate-Build program assessed trips based on $700,000 \mathrm{sf}$ of Warehouse space intended to represent the remaining development of the Park. However, in their review of the November TIA, MDM proposed the use of the ITE Land Use Code (LUC) 130, Industrial Park to generate trips associated with this phase. These trips are shown below in Table 3, using the remaining build-out development size of 700,000 sf.

Table 3 TRIP-GENERATION SUMMARY

| Time Period | LUC 150 Warehousing (700,000 sf) | LUC 130 Industrial Park (700,000 sf) | Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Daily | 1,152 | 2,360 | +1,208 |
| Weekday Morning Peak Hour: |  |  |  |
| Entering | 92 | 227 | +135 |
| Exiting | 27 | 53 | +26 |
| Total | 119 | 280 | +161 |
| Weekday Evening Peak Hour: |  |  |  |
| Entering | 36 | 59 | +23 |
| Exiting | 97 | $\underline{221}$ | +124 |
| Total | 133 | 280 | +147 |

In comparison with the expected trip-generation totals from the November TIA, the use of the Industrial Park data results in projections of 1,208 additional vehicle trips during an average weekday daily, 161 additional vehicle trips during the weekday morning peak hour, and 147 additional vehicle trips during the weekday evening peak hour. It should be noted that, the applicant has no plans to develop the remaining buildout as an industrial park, and fully expects to develop this space as warehouse use.

## SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS FOR $1,500,000$ SF INDUSTRIAL PARK

An additional analysis was conducted in response to MDM requests. This involved a Sensitivity Analysis for trip generation, using an even higher-intensity development program than that noted above for the remaining phase of the Ultimate Build-Out of the Park. This Sensitivity Analysis assumes that the entire program (including the currently proposed 800,000 sf warehouse and the remaining buildout of $700,000 \mathrm{sf}$ ) is developed not as warehouse, but instead as $1,500,000$ sf of Industrial Park, using ITE LUC 130, Industrial Park data. This results in an even higher trip generation total than indicated in the previous section. These trips are shown below in Table 4 and are compared with those estimated in the November TIA based on the proposed $800,000 \mathrm{sf}$ and remaining buildout of $700,000 \mathrm{sf}$ of warehouse. To be clear, the Applicant has no plans to develop either the 800,000-sf current parcel or the remaining build-out of 700,000 sf of space as anything but warehouse.

Table 4
TRIP-GENERATION SUMMARY

| Time Period | A <br> Proposed Warehousing ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (1,500,000 sf) | $\begin{gathered} \text { B } \\ \text { Assumed } \\ \text { Industrial Park }^{\mathrm{b}} \\ (1,500,000 \mathrm{sf}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{A}$ <br> Increase |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Daily | 2,462 | 5,056 | +2,594 |
| Weekday Morning Peak Hour: |  |  |  |
| Entering | 197 | 486 | +289 |
| Exiting | 58 | $\underline{114}$ | +56 |
| Total | 255 | 600 | +345 |
| Weekday Evening Peak Hour: |  |  |  |
| Entering | 77 | 126 | +49 |
| Exiting | $\underline{208}$ | 474 | +266 |
| Total | 285 | 600 | +315 |

[^2]In comparison with the expected trip-generation totals from the November TIA, a hypothetical buildout of a $1,500,000$ sf Industrial Park at Hopping Brook Business Park would result in 2,594 additional vehicle trips during an average weekday daily, 345 additional vehicle trips during the weekday morning peak hour, and 315 additional vehicle trips during weekday evening peak hour. It should be noted that the Project site is being developed and marketed as a warehouse and these alternative use scenarios are provided as a hypothetical exercise based on requests that have been raised by the Peer Reviewer only.

## Ultimate-Build Conditions Analysis

A last step in the Sensitivity Analysis involves the capacity analysis of both of the hypothetical 2027 Ultimate-Build conditions to reflect both MDM requests:

- The proposal for the 700,000 -sf industrial park for the remaining buildout, keeping the 800,000 -sf warehouse space as proposed, and
- The substitute $1,500,000$ sf Industrial Park for the entire development.

Accordingly, new level-of-service and vehicle queue analyses were conducted for the study area intersection using the above conditions. Table 5 summarizes the intersection capacity and vehicle queuing analyses with the above-mentioned proposals and after installation of a traffic control signal at the intersection, as shown to be warranted in the November TIA .
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Table 5
SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS SUMMARY

| Signalized Intersection/ Critical Movement/Peak Hour | 2027 Ultimate-Build (1,500,000 sf Warehouse, from November TIA) |  |  |  | 2027 Ultimate-Build ( 800,000 sf Warehouse and 700,000 sf Industrial Park) |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2027 \text { Ultimate-Build } \\ (1,500,000 \text { sf Industrial Park }) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | V/C ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Delay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | LOS $^{\text {c }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Queue }^{\mathrm{d}} \\ \text { Ave/ } 95^{\text {th }} \end{gathered}$ | V/C | Delay | LOS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Queue } \\ & \text { Ave } / 95^{\text {th }} \end{aligned}$ | V/C | Delay | LOS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Queue } \\ \text { Ave/95 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekday Morning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington Street EB TH/RT | 0.90 | 23.0 | C | 16/29 | 0.97 | 33.9 | C | 20/34 | 1.06 | 63.2 | E | 44/55 |
| Washington Street WB LT | 0.49 | 13.0 | B | 1/2 | 0.72 | 31.4 | C | 1/4 | 0.90 | 67.8 | E | 4/10 |
| Washington Street WB TH | 0.44 | 4.9 | A | 3/5 | 0.42 | 4.5 | A | 3/5 | 0.41 | 5.3 | A | 5/7 |
| Hopping Brook Road NB LT | 0.54 | 45.0 | D | 2/5 | 0.72 | 59.1 | E | 2/6 | 0.74 | 68.3 | E | 4/8 |
| Hopping Brook Road NB RT | 0.17 | 13.5 | B | 0/1 | 0.21 | 13.5 | B | 0/1 | 0.25 | 13.1 | B | 0/2 |
| Overall | -- | 18.0 | B | -- | -- | 26.7 | C | -- | -- | 48.0 | D | -- |
| Weekday Evening: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Washington Street EB TH/RT | 0.79 | 23.6 | C | 12/18 | 0.84 | 27.6 | C | 12/21 | 0.98 | 54.8 | D | 18/26 |
| Washington Street WB LT | 0.21 | 9.9 | A | 1/1 | 0.34 | 13.6 | B | 1/1 | 0.29 | 14.3 | B | 1/1 |
| Washington Street WB TH | 0.87 | 25.4 | C | 14/23 | 0.90 | 28.9 | C | 14/23 | 0.96 | 44.0 | D | 17/27 |
| Hopping Brook Road NB LT | 0.82 | 39.9 | D | 7/13 | 0.92 | 52.8 | D | 10/18 | 0.99 | 62.0 | E | 12/21 |
| Hopping Brook Road NB RT | 0.27 | 5.5 | A | 0/2 | 0.29 | 5.0 | A | 0/2 | 0.31 | 3.9 | A | 0/2 |
| Overall | -- | 25.8 | C | -- | -- | 31.3 | C | -- | -- | 47.4 | D | -- |

[^3]As shown in Table 5, the substitution of the 700,000 sf Industrial Park was shown to result in a degradation in overall level of service over the proposed 700,000 sf Warehouse during 2027 Ultimate Build conditions, from LOS B to LOS C during the weekday morning peak hour and from LOS D to LOS E for left-turn movements on Hopping Brook Road. This scenario retained the proposed 800,000 sf Warehouse of the November TIA in both conditions.

The substitution of the 1,500,000 Industrial Park was shown to result in a larger degradation in overall level of service over the proposed $1,500,000$ sf warehouse from LOS B to LOS D during the weekday morning peak hour and from LOS C to LOS D during the weekday evening peak hour. Some movements were shown to operate at the capacity or LOS E during both peak periods.

## TRAFFIC MONITORING

In addition to the mitigation of the traffic signal installation at the intersection of Hopping Brook Road and Washington Street and items referenced in the MassDOT Section 61 Finding such as designation of a transportation coordinator and providing amenities to discourage off-site trips, the Applicant is willing to undertake a Traffic Monitoring program to measure the trip generation of the $800,000 \mathrm{sf}$ and $700,000 \mathrm{sf}$ warehouse parcels, as well as the trip generation of the entire Park. Such monitoring is expected as a part of the MEPA approval for the Park and would include annual daily and peak-period counts for a five-year period commencing upon the 12 -month anniversary of the occupancy of the various components. The Applicant will submit this data to the Town when a submittal to MassDOT is provided.

## CONCLUSION

Based on the above, we conclude that the Hopping Brook Road intersection with Washington Street could accommodate additional (but unanticipated) traffic demands of the Park. The analysis indicates that the intersection can accommodate trip generation consistent with the use of ITE categories of Warehouse and Industrial Park, for both the 800,000 -sf parcel as part of the Build condition and for the $1,500,000$ sf Ultimate Build-Out of the remainder of the Hopping Brook Business Park. However, the Applicant is only proposing warehouse users for both the $800,000-$ sf parcel and for the remaining 700,000 sf parcel representing the final Build-Out of the Park. The Applicant referenced their intention to develop the 800,000 sf parcel as a warehouse facility in a January 25, 2021 letter to the Holliston Planning Board.

Should you have any questions on the above information, feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

## VANASSE \& ASSOCIATES, INC.



Scott W. Thornton, P.E.
Principal
Attachment

- Technical Appendix


## APPENDIX

TRIP GENERATION CALCULATIONS CAPACITY ANALYSIS

## Warehousing (150)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 34
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 451
Directional Distribution: $77 \%$ entering, $23 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{0.17}$ | $0.02-1.93$ | 0.20 |

## Data Plot and Equation



# Warehousing <br> (150) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 29
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 285
Directional Distribution: $50 \%$ entering, $50 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.74 | $0.15-16.93$ | 1.55 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Warehousing (150)

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

## On a: Weekday,

Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 47
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 400
Directional Distribution: $27 \%$ entering, $73 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.19 | $0.01-1.80$ | 0.18 |

## Data Plot and Equation



# Industrial Park (130) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 27
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 762
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.37 | $1.41-14.98$ | 2.60 |

## Data Plot and Equation



Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE)
Trip Generation, 10th Edition
Land Use Code (LUC) 130 - Industrial Park

```
Average Vehicle Trips Ends vs:
700.00
Weekday Morning Peak Hour Of Adjacent Street Traffic
\(\mathrm{T}=0.40\) * \((\mathrm{X})\)
\(\mathrm{T}=0.40\) * 700.00
\(\mathrm{T}=280.00\)
\(\mathrm{T}=280 \quad\) vehicle trips with 81\% ( 227 vph ) entering and 19\% ( 53 vph ) exiting.
Weekday Evening Peak Hour Of Adjacent Street Traffic \(\mathrm{T}=0.40\) * \((\mathrm{X})\)
\(\mathrm{T}=0.40\) * 700.00
\(\mathrm{T}=280.00\)
\(\mathrm{T}=280 \quad\) vehicle trips with 21\% ( 59 vph ) entering and 79\% ( 221 vph ) exiting.
```


# Industrial Park (130) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 27
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 762
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.37 | $1.41-14.98$ | 2.60 |

Data Plot and Equation


Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE)
Trip Generation, 10th Edition
Land Use Code (LUC) 130 - Industrial Park

| Average Vehicle Trips Ends vs: Independent Variable (X): | ross | loor Area |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weekday Morning Peak Hour Of Adjacent Street Traffic$T=0.40 \text { * (X) }$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| T $=0.40$ * \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}=600.00$ |  |  |
| $T=600 \quad$ vehicle trips |  |  |
| with 81\% ( 486 vph ) entering and 19\% ( | 114 | vph) exiting. |
| Weekday Evening Peak Hour Of Adjacent Street Traffic |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}=0.40$ * X$)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}=0.40$ * \#\#\#\#\#\# |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}=600.00$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{T}=600 \quad$ vehicle trips |  |  |
| with 21\% ( 126 vph ) entering and 79\% ( | 474 | $v p h)$ exiting |

CAPACITY ANALYSIS WORKSHEETS

Washington Street at Hopping Brook Road

|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | $p$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | $\hat{+}$ |  | ${ }^{4}$ | 个 | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 685 | 461 | 145 | 554 | 119 | 41 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 685 | 461 | 145 | 554 | 119 | 41 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |  |
| Lane Width (tt) | 16 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |  |
| Grade (\%) | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Storage Length (ft) |  | 150 | 150 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |
| Taper Length (ft) |  |  | 25 |  | 25 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1974 | 0 | 1770 | 1900 | 1612 | 1568 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |  | 0.074 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1974 | 0 | 138 | 1900 | 1612 | 1568 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) | 83 |  |  |  |  | 45 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) | 40 |  |  | 40 | 30 |  |  |
| Link Distance (t) | 515 |  |  | 535 | 833 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 8.8 |  |  | 9.1 | 18.9 |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 1246 | 0 | 158 | 602 | 129 | 45 |  |
| Turn Type | NA |  | pm+pt | NA | Prot | Perm |  |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 2 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.0 |  | 9.5 | 23.5 | 23.0 | 23.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 56.0 |  | 10.0 | 66.0 | 14.0 | 14.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 70.0\% |  | 12.5\% | 82.5\% | 17.5\% | 17.5\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 |  | 4.5 | 5.5 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  | Lead |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  | None | None | Min | Min |  |
| Act Efftt Green (s) | 49.8 |  | 60.3 | 59.3 | 8.7 | 8.7 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.63 |  | 0.77 | 0.76 | 0.11 | 0.11 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.97 |  | 0.72 | 0.42 | 0.72 | 0.21 |  |
| Control Delay | 33.9 |  | 31.4 | 4.5 | 59.1 | 13.5 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 33.9 |  | 31.4 | 4.5 | 59.1 | 13.5 |  |
| LOS | C |  | C | A | E | B |  |
| Approach Delay | 33.9 |  |  | 10.1 | 47.3 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | B | D |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 496 |  | 24 | 83 | 63 | 0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (t) | \#863 |  | \#114 | 126 | \#146 | 29 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 435 |  |  | 455 | 753 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (t) |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1313 |  | 220 | 1466 | 185 | 220 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |



Splits and Phases: 3: Hopping Brook Road \& Washington Street



Cycle Length: 82
Actuated Cycle Length: 75.7
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93

Intersection LOS: C Intersection Capacity Utilization $77.7 \% \quad$ ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 3: Hopping Brook Road \& Washington Street


Queues


Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 3: Hopping Brook Road \& Washington Street



Cycle Length: 82
Actuated Cycle Length: 81.2
Natural Cycle: 100
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.99

Intersection Signal Delay: 47.4
Intersection Capacity Utilization 83.5\% ICU Level of Service E

Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 3: Hopping Brook Road \& Washington Street



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Florida Department of Transportation, Trip Generation Recommendations, prepared by Kimley Horn Associates, Inc., October 2014 and Fulfillment Center Trip Generation, prepared by Rajappan B., Taubeneck, L., and Patil, S., ITE Journal, pages 23-26, published July 2019.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Trip Generation, $10{ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition; Institute of Transportation Engineers; Washington, DC; 2017.

[^2]:    abased on ITE LUC 150, Warehouse from November TIA.
    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Based on ITE LUC 130, Industrial Park.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a}}$ Volume-to-capacity ratio.
    ${ }^{\circ}$ Level of service.
    ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{Qu}$ ueue length, in vehicle.

