## Holliston Governance Committee

## Select Board Update \#6

## Pros and Cons of a Three or Five Member Select Board

In Update \#2, four arguments each were listed for maintaining a three-member Select Board and a five-member Select Board. Upon review of three detailed reports of other town Government Study Committees that wrestled with the Select Board size issue, this Update article expands the comparison with seven advantages and disadvantages for both options. Update \#5 focused on the Study Committee make-up and time required to complete its work for four towns and included the links to the three reports referenced here.

## Pros and Cons of a Three-Member Select Board

| Advantages | Disadvantages |
| :--- | :--- |
| Every Select Board Member is vested in the job | Lack of one member can result in 1-1 tie votes |
| All Select Board deliberations remain transparent <br> and open to the public | More likelihood of one Board member being <br> isolated or dominating the others |
| Three members are more apt to reach a <br> consensus | More limited access of residents to a Select Board <br> member |
| Meetings are efficient and reasonable in length | Collusion among two members |
| Town Administrator communications with <br> individual Select Board members would not <br> increase | The duties of the Select Board and Town <br> Administrator need to be better aligned. |
| Current level of authority and accountability <br> would be maintained | A three-member Board does not position the <br> town to cope with the complexity of the present <br> and the future |
| Don't fix what is not broken | Less ability to communicate with Town <br> committees |

## Pros and Cons of a Five-Member Select Board

| Advantages | Disadvantages |
| :--- | :--- |
| Offers a broader representation of experiences, <br> viewpoints and skill sets | No guarantee that a Board of five will be more <br> efficient in solving the town's problems |
| More dilution of power and ill effects from one <br> (rogue) member | Longer meetings and more likelihood of long, <br> drawn-out debates |
| Two Board members may legally speak with one <br> another (but not deliberate) without violating the <br> Open Meeting Law | Without structural changes, the burden on the <br> Town Administrator will increase significantly <br> with a five-member Select Board. |
| Winning three votes, versus two, for Select Board <br> votes will require greater collaboration | Appearance that it is OK for two of five to talk <br> outside meetings, but not best practice |
| Allows for absences while maintaining a quorum | Collusion among three members |
| Ability to create subcommittees to explore issues | Creates more work for Town Hall staff |
| Better resident access to a Select Board member | Over past 11 years, too few contested elections <br> in Holliston for three-member Board. |

